

FINAL



VICTOR VALLEY WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AUTHORITY

Sewer Master Plan, Modeling and Condition Assessment

AUGUST 2010

PREPARED BY:

RBF
CONSULTING



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority	1-3
1.2 Existing VVWRA Facilities.....	1-3
1.2.1 Sewers	1-5
1.2.2 Lift Stations.....	1-6
1.2.3 Flow Metering Stations.....	1-7
1.2.4 Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility (RWWRF)	1-7
1.2.5 Reclaimed Water Piping.....	1-8
1.3 VVWRA Strategic Plan	1-10
1.4 Interceptor Sewer Master Plan Organization	1-12
SECTION 2 – EXISTING CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT	2-1
2.1 Existing Data Collection and Review	2-1
2.1.1 Design and As-Built Drawings Review.....	2-2
2.1.2 City of Hesperia Data.....	2-4
2.1.3 Spring Valley Lake Data.....	2-6
2.1.4 Town of Apple Valley Data	2-6
2.1.5 City of Victorville Data	2-8
2.1.6 VVWRA RWWRF Review.....	2-9
2.2 Field Survey.....	2-10
2.2.1 Horizontal Positioning of Manholes.....	2-10
2.2.2 Field Measure of Sewer Inverts	2-11
2.2.3 Electronic Leveling.....	2-12
2.3 Condition Assessment	2-13
2.3.1 Structural Assessment	2-18
2.3.2 Physical Verification of Interceptor Facilities.....	2-19
2.3.3 Identification of Flow Characteristics.....	2-20



SECTION 3 – FLOW MONITORING	3-1
3.1 Previously Conducted Flow Monitoring	3-1
3.1.1 VVWRA Interceptor Historical Flow Monitoring	3-2
3.1.2 VVWRA Influent Flow Meter	3-4
3.1.3 Flow Monitoring from the Interceptor System Facilities Plan Amendment, 2007.....	3-6
3.1.4 City of Hesperia Flow Monitoring.....	3-8
3.1.5 City of Victorville Flow Monitoring	3-9
3.2 Flow Monitoring Conducted for this SMP	3-11
3.2.1 Hesperia Flow Monitoring.....	3-12
3.2.2 Spring Valley Lake/CSA 64.....	3-17
3.2.3 Apple Valley.....	3-22
3.2.4 Wet Weather Monitoring	3-28
 SECTION 4 – HYDRAULIC MODEL FLOW ASSIGNMENT.....	 4-1
4.1 Baseline Average Flow	4-1
4.2 Hesperia Flow Allocation and Diurnal Patterns.....	4-3
4.3 Spring Valley Lake/CSA 64 Flow Allocation and Diurnal Patterns.....	4-5
4.4 Apple Valley Flow Allocation and Diurnal Patterns	4-6
4.5 Victorville Flow Allocation and Diurnal Patterns	4-9
 SECTION 5 – HYDRAULIC MODEL ROUGHNESS FACTOR ASSIGNMENT AND MODEL CALIBRATION	 5-1
5.1 Interceptor Model Software	5-3
5.2 Friction Factor Calibration	5-3
5.2.1 Hesperia Friction Factor Calibration	5-4
5.2.2 Spring Valley Lake/CSA 64 Model Calibration.....	5-9
5.2.3 Apple Valley Model Calibration	5-10
5.2.4 Victorville Model Calibration.....	5-20
5.2.5 Manning’s “N” Investigation.....	5-20
5.2.6 Overall Demonstration of Model Calibration	5-22



SECTION 6 – CAPACITY ANALYSIS 6-1

6.1	VVWRA Interceptor Capacity Criteria.....	6-2
6.1.1	Dry Weather Flow Capacity Criteria.....	6-2
6.1.2	Wet Weather Flow Capacity Criteria.....	6-4
6.2	Flow Loading Assignment.....	6-4
6.2.1	Existing Average Dry Weather Flow Assignment.....	6-4
6.2.2	Future Average Dry Weather Flow Assignment.....	6-6
6.2.3	Wet Weather Flow Assignment.....	6-11
6.3	Existing Interceptor Capacity Scenario	6-12
6.3.1	Hesperia South Interceptor – From Hercules St. (Hesperia Manhole #87) to Bear Valley Rd. (Hesperia Manhole #43)	6-15
6.3.2	Hesperia North – Bear Valley Road (Hesperia Manhole #43) to Spring Valley Lake Connection (Hesperia Manhole #1)	6-19
6.3.3	Spring Valley Lake/CSA-64 to Upper Narrows Segment.....	6-22
6.3.4	South Apple Valley (SAV) Interceptor	6-23
6.3.5	North Apple Valley (NAV) Interceptor	6-27
6.3.6	Upper Narrows Segment to Lower Narrows	6-27
6.3.7	Lower Narrows to Double Barrel Section	6-28
6.3.8	Double Barrel to the RWWRF	6-32
6.4	Santa Fe Bypass Scenario.....	6-35
6.5	North Hesperia Relief Sewer Scenario	6-36
6.6	Spring Valley Lake Interceptor Relief Scenario.....	6-37
6.7	Hesperia Subregional Water Reclamation Plant Scenario.....	6-38
6.8	Apple Valley Water Reclamation Plant Scenario.....	6-39
6.9	Eastside Water Reclamation Plant Scenario	6-41
6.10	Improvements Composite.....	6-42
6.11	VVWRA Recycled Water Options.....	6-44

SECTION 7 – RECOMMENDATIONS..... 7-1

7.1	Interceptor Capacity Analysis Results and Recommendations.....	7-1
7.1.1	Phasing of Improvements	7-4
7.2	Condition Assessment Results and Recommendations.....	7-6
7.2.1	Condition Assessment Recommendations	7-7
7.2.2	Hesperia.....	7-7
7.2.3	Spring Valley Lake (SVL)/CSA-64.....	7-8
7.2.4	South Apple Valley (SAV).....	7-9
7.2.5	North Apple Valley (NAV).....	7-11
7.2.6	Victorville Reaches 1 and 2 (Double Barrel)	7-11



LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1	Capacity Improvements Cost Summary	ES-8
Table 2-1	VANDA Corrosion Damage Assessment Description	2-18
Table 3-1	VVWRA Historical Flow Monitoring	3-2
Table 3-2	RWWRF Influent Flow Meter	3-4
Table 3-3	Downstream 2007 Flow Monitoring Data	3-8
Table 3-4	Hesperia Wastewater Master Plan Flow Monitoring Summary	3-9
Table 4-1	Summary of VVWRA Calibration Model Loading Inputs	4-2
Table 4-2	Hesperia Model Loading Assumptions	4-3
Table 4-3	Hesperia Dry Weather Diurnal Flow Patterns at Interceptor Load Points	4-4
Table 4-4	Spring Valley Lake Diurnal Flow Pattern	4-5
Table 4-5	South Apple Valley Model Loadings	4-6
Table 4-6	South Apple Valley Dry Weather Diurnal Flow Patterns at Interceptor Load Points	4-7
Table 4-7	North Apple Valley Dry Weather Diurnal Flow Patterns at Interceptor Load Points	4-8
Table 4-8	City of Victorville Interceptor Model Load Points	4-9
Table 4-9	Victorville Connection Points Historical Percent Contribution of Total Flow to VVWRA (2000-2009)	4-10
Table 4-10	Victorville Calibrated Model Loading Inputs	4-10
Table 4-11	Victorville Dry Weather Diurnal Flow Patterns at Interceptor Load Points	4-11
Table 5-1	Typical Mannings "N" Values	5-4
Table 5-2	Hesperia Calculated "N" vs Slope from Monitoring	5-4
Table 5-3	Hesperia Model "N" Assumptions	5-5
Table 5-4	SVL/CSA-64 Calculated "N" vs Slope from Monitoring	5-9
Table 5-5	SVL/CSA-64 Model "N" Assumptions	5-9
Table 5-6	South Apple Valley Calculated "N" vs Slope from Monitoring	5-14
Table 5-7	South Apple Valley Model "N" Assumptions	5-15
Table 5-8	Victorville Model "N" Assumptions	5-20
Table 6-1	Capacity Criteria	6-2
Table 6-2	Existing (2009) Average Dry Weather Flows	6-5
Table 6-3	Existing ADWF Proportioning	6-5



Table 6-4	VVWRA Flow Projection.....	6-7
Table 6-5	Inflow and Infiltration Calculation by Interceptor Reach.....	6-12
Table 6-6	Existing Interceptor Capacity Results.....	6-15
Table 6-7	Interceptor Capacity with 1.0 mgd Hesperia WRP-1	6-39
Table 6-8	Interceptor Capacity with 1.0 mgd Apple Valley WRP	6-40
Table 6-9	Interceptor Capacity with 4.0 mgd Eastside WRP	6-42
Table 6-10	Recycled Water Option 1 Capital Cost Estimate	6-44
Table 6-11	Recycled Water Option 2 Capital Cost Estimate	6-45
Table 6-12	Recycled Water Options Annual O&M Cost	6-45
Table 7-1	Subregional WRP Cost Summary.....	7-2
Table 7-2	Interceptor Upgrades Capital Cost Summary	7-4



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1	VVWRA Flow Monitoring and Model Load Points	ES-4
Figure ES-2	VVWRA Service Area Flow Projection.....	ES-6
Figure ES-3	Capacity Limitations in Existing Interceptor.....	ES-7
Figure ES-4	Schedule of Improvements.....	ES-9
Figure 1-1	VVWRA Vicinity Map	1-4
Figure 1-2	VVWRA Existing Facilities.....	1-9
Figure 1-3	VVWRA CIP Version 5C	1-11
Figure 2-1	Apple Valley Riverside Pump Station Force Main Connection.....	2-8
Figure 2-2	GPS Survey	2-11
Figure 2-3	Sewer Pipe Invert Measurement	2-12
Figure 2-4	Electronic Leveling	2-13
Figure 2-5	Example Condition Assessment Form	2-15
Figure 2-6	Interceptor Pipe Materials	2-21
Figure 3-1	Field Monitored System Flow from 2000 to 2009.....	3-3
Figure 3-2	Interceptor Field Monitored Flow vs Influent Flow Meter, 2000-2009	3-5
Figure 3-3	RWWRF Influent Storage Schematic	3-7
Figure 3-4	City of Victorville Master Plan Flow Monitoring Locations	3-10
Figure 3-5	Typical Flow Monitor Equipment Installation	3-12
Figure 3-6	Hesperia Interceptor Flow Monitoring.....	3-13
Figure 3-7	Hesperia MH 45 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow.....	3-14
Figure 3-8	Hesperia MH 55 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow.....	3-15
Figure 3-9	Hesperia MH 70 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow.....	3-16
Figure 3-10	Spring Valley Lake Flow Monitoring Locations	3-18
Figure 3-11	Spring Valley Lake MH 22 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-19
Figure 3-12	Spring Valley Lake MH 17 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-20
Figure 3-13	Spring Valley Lake MH 04 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-21
Figure 3-14	South Apple Valley Flow Monitoring Locations	3-23
Figure 3-15	South Apple Valley MH 68 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-24
Figure 3-16	South Apple Valley MH 43 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-25
Figure 3-17	South Apple Valley MH 17 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-26



Figure 3-18	South Apple Valley MH 02 – Weekend/Weekday Average Hourly Flow	3-27
Figure 3-19	Wet Weather Rainfall Results	3-29
Figure 4-1	Interceptor Model Load points	4-2
Figure 5-1	Hesperia MH 45 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-6
Figure 5-2	Hesperia MH 55 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-7
Figure 5-3	Hesperia MH 70 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-8
Figure 5-4	Spring Valley Lake MH 22 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-11
Figure 5-5	Spring Valley Lake MH 17 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-12
Figure 5-6	Spring Valley Lake MH 04 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-13
Figure 5-7	Apple Valley Riverside Pump Station Force Main Connection	5-14
Figure 5-8	South Apple Valley MH 68 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-16
Figure 5-9	South Apple Valley MH 43 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-17
Figure 5-10	South Apple Valley MH 17 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-18
Figure 5-11	South Apple Valley MH 02 – Monitored vs. Modeled d/D	5-19
Figure 5-12	Pipe Slope vs. Mannings “N”	5-21
Figure 5-13	RWWRF Influent Meter Flow vs. Model Flow at RWWRF	5-23
Figure 6-1	Capacity Analysis Overview	6-3
Figure 6-2	Flow Projection Graph	6-8
Figure 6-3	Existing System Capacity Results	6-14
Figure 6-4	Hesperia South 2012 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-17
Figure 6-5	Simulated Blockage at Manhole 54	6-18
Figure 6-6	Hesperia North 2012 Wet Weather Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-20
Figure 6-7	Hesperia Interceptor Pipe Diameter Transitions	6-21
Figure 6-8	Spring Valley Lake 2012 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-24
Figure 6-9	South Apple Valley 2009 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-26
Figure 6-10	Upper Narrows to Lower Narrows 2012 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-29
Figure 6-11	Upper Narrows to Lower Narrows 2012 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-30
Figure 6-12	Lower Narrows to Double Barrel 2015 Over Capacity Pipe Profile	6-31
Figure 6-13	Double Barrel Main Sewer (36”) 2016 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-33
Figure 6-14	Double Barrel Relief Sewer (42”) 2016 Over Capacity Pipes Profile	6-34
Figure 6-15	Composite Improvements Interceptor Capacity Results	6-43



APPENDICES

Appendix A – Survey Data

Appendix B – Flow Monitoring Reports

V&A Flow Monitoring Report – December 2007

V&A Flow Monitoring Report – January 2008

Capacity Assessment Results Tables

Appendix C – Model Results Output

Appendix D – Recycled Water Options Tech Memo

Appendix E – Condition Assessment Repair and Replacement Recommendations